1	have enough then to to ask the Witness to show
2	this to the Witness and see where we can go because
3	this is again this is already in the record
4	someplace.
5	MR. SHOOK: A similar document is.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay that's clear enough,
7	okay. That's all I need. All right, you know you
8	have a specific idea in mind with this Mr. Shook and
9	anything that would add further clarification, if at
10	all, would be helpful. So if you want to proceed with
11	this?
12	MR. SHOOK: Yes I would. With that in
13	mind Mr. Helgeson, did you have an opportunity to look
14	at what is identified as Bureau Exhibit 12A
15	specifically the second page of that Exhibit that has
16	a page number E1 at the bottom of it?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes I see this.
18	EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. SHOOK:
20	Q And the I didn't ask the question.
21	A Okay.
22	Q Is this does this document help you

1	recall what it was that you received from Mr. Sanchez
2	via fax on March 13, 1998 which is Bureau Exhibit 12
3	and refers to a model program list that Mr. Sanchez
4	promised to send you?
5	A Yes. I looking from looking at this
6	I can't say with certainty that I this is what I
7	that this was what I saw or was if my was
8	faxed to me on on that date in March. I can't
9	recall seeing this particular document.
10	Q Have you ever seen a document like this
11	before?
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: For the first part of this
13	question don't get hung up on this particular
14	document, but have you seen does this look like a
15	format of the document and it's the general subject of
16	the document that you would have received from Mr.
17	Sanchez in March of '98? Go ahead Mr. Duncan.
18	MR. DUNCAN: Well I would just be given
19	the time given the long time frame we're talking
20	about here I think that the question that Mr. Shook
21	had on the table was do you ever recall having seen a
22	document and I thought that's where you were going

1	Your Honor, that looked something like this in this
2	format. Did you ever and then see if we can narrow
3	it nail it down to when?
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well that's fine.
5	MR. DUNCAN: I'm concerned that I don't
6	know what the answer is to either question.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: I think that's that's
8	even a better way to start.
9	MR. DUNCAN: Okay.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Just answer Mr. Duncan's
11	question first.
12	MR. DUNCAN: Do you ever recall seeing a
13	document that looked like this document, this format,
14	these kinds of instructions, not specific word for
15	word necessarily but this kind of format?
16	THE WITNESS: At this time I just don't
17	recall seeing a document with this format on it that
18	I can recall. Although I would say that it looks very
19	similar to one that I saw yesterday. I mean as far as
20	just the layout of it. As far as a model that's
21	that's what I would that would be my answer right
22	now.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	BY MR. SHOOK:
2	Q In terms of seeing something similar to
3	what you saw yesterday
4	A Yes.
5	Q Well, I just happened to
6	A As far as the format.
7	Q I just happened to open up to something
8	here. EB Exhibit 44, page 131.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's page 131.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes this is
11	MR. DUNCAN: Go ahead.
12	BY MR. SHOOK:
13	Q Go ahead
14	A Yes this is the one I've been referring to
15	that I believe I saw that we I looked at
16	yesterday.
17	Q Do you recognize this document as
18	referring to Chuck Finney's program Your Legal Rights?
19	A Yes it yes it does. It seems to be
20	regarding the period in time in '93 topics and issues
21	covered in that period, 1993, regarding the Your Legal
22	Rights Program.

Q Now, looking at EB Exhibit 44, page 131
does that help your recollection in any way in terms
of whether or not you received the document similar to
that from Mr. Sanchez in March of '98?
A At this time I can't recall exactly what
the document was that Mr. Sanchez the exact what
pages made up that document in March of '98. If I got
it from Mr. Sanchez I certainly would have reviewed
anything from Mr. Sanchez. But I don't recall what it
was exactly.
JUDGE SIPPEL: Well you don't have any
question but that you did receive something from Mr.
Sanchez?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I don't I don't have
any questions.
JUDGE SIPPEL: So having received it you
did look at it. It's just a question of whether or
not
THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
JUDGE SIPPEL: You can recall.
THE WITNESS: Right. I don't recall at
this time what it was and what that specific fax was

1	at this you know what that what the what was
2	on the pages of that fax.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: You understand the question
4	directed to you. Not that you we're not asking you
5	do you recall literally what was on the page or pages
6	we're trying to get a a much - a broader
7	recollection on your part in terms of format, subject
8	matter.
9	THE WITNESS: Okay.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Does that help you in any
11	way?
12	THE WITNESS: I still don't have a
13	recollection of it what it what was I don't
14	recall.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
16	Well I think we ought to receive this in the record so
17	that we can type you know we can see from his
18	testimony what it is that he's speaking about. You
19	know whether he's
20	MR. SHOOK: Well, Your Honor, with the
21	proviso that I'm going to have photocopied the page
22	that I neglected to photocopy before I would request

1	that the Bureau Exhibit EB 12A be received into
2	evidence.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is there any objections,
4	Mr. Duncan?
5	MR. DUNCAN: No.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. I've heard
7	you know well it's received into evidence as 12A
8	and you are with permission to add a third page to
9	the document and you don't have to do that in open
10	court just working with Mr. Duncan and the Court
11	Reporter and I'd like a copy myself of course.
12	MR. SHOOK: Of course.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: So it's received. 12A is
14	now received in evidence as EB 12A. Thank you.
15	(Whereupon, the document
16	referred to was marked for
17	identification and received
18	into evidence as Enforcement
19	Bureau's Exhibit No. 12A.)
20	We gave it the old college try Mr. Helgeson,
21	right?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes sir.

1	MR. SHOOK: Mr. Helgeson, according to Ms.
2	Sawaya's testimony SFUSD Exhibit T-3 at page 6, she
3	started to work as KALW's General Manager on Thursday,
4	March 1, 2001. Had she come to the station before
5	March 1, 2001 to introduce herself?
6	THE WITNESS: Can I see her testimony for
7	just a moment?
8	MR. SHOOK: Certainly.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10	MR. DUNCAN: Where are you? I'm sorry Mr.
11	Shook.
12	MR. SHOOK: SFUSD Exhibit T-3 at page 6.
13	For everybody's benefit the reason that I said
14	Thursday, March 1 is that I was also referring to Ms.
15	Sawaya's calendar daily calendar from March of 2001
16	which showed March 1, 2001 as a Thursday. That's
17	SFUSD Exhibit 16.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay I'm ready.
19	BY MR. SHOOK:
20	Q Both references?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Did you tell Ms. Sawaya about the Petition

1	to Deny filed by Golden Gate Public Radio only after
2	she started to work at the station?
3	A On I did I only told her about
4	station matters including Golden Gate Public Radio
5	the petition matter on March 1st or not before March
6	1 st that I can recall.
7	Q What did you tell her about the petition?
8	A I gave her a short status regarding the
9	license renewal that I could recall up to that time.
LO	Q Did you do that orally?
11	A I would have done it orally, yes in the
12	office.
L3	Q What, if anything, did you tell her about
14	the Petitioners?
15	A I don't recall I specifically described
16	them other than gave them the names of the people and
17	mentioned that they had filed this petition. I don't
L8	recall if I got into a description of them
L9	particularly.
20	Q Did you tell Ms. Sawaya that Jason Lopez,
21	one of GGPR's members still worked at the station?
22	A I told her that he was still involved at

+	the station and he was a he had a radio show on
2	KALW.
3	Q What kind of reaction did she have to what
4	you told her?
5	A I don't recall specifically what her
6	reaction was. I think it was I don't recall
7	specifically her reaction to it. She just wanted to
8	know what what's going on; what do we need to in
9	the course of in the sense of what's going on at
10	the station I had told her about the license challenge
L1	and where the, as far as I knew, where the renewal
12	application stood as far as I knew.
13	Q Did she express anger as a result of being
14	informed that there was a Petition to Deny?
15	A I don't recall that she was angry that
L6	she expressed anger.
17	Q In explaining the current situation at the
L8	station, did you inform Ms. Sawaya about the FCC's
19	February 5, 2001 letter?
20	A I would have told her about that at the
21	time, yes and what steps what actions had been
22	taken up to up to the time up until March 1.

1	Q With respect to that what did you tell
2	her?
3	A I told her that I received the letter from
4	our attorneys. I'd been on the phone and discussed it
5	with them asking them what we what I'd been what
6	to do what we should be doing as far as responding
7	what they wanted to do as far as responding to
8	this, what we needed to do and I told her about my
9	actions regarding bringing the public file up to date
10	now.
11	Q Did you show her the FCC's letter?
12	A I don't at that this time recall if
13	I actually put it right in front of her. I don't
14	recall that.
15	Q Was there some point during the first
16	during the next seven days when you did so?
17	A Like I say I don't recall I don't
18	recall specifically when I put the letter right in
19	front of her.
20	Q But you do have a recollection at some
21	point of actually giving her the letter from the FCC?
22	A I would not have no way would I need to

1 keep it from her so I certainly would show her what we 2 were working on and this is the basis of what we were 3 working on. 4 So I could -- in that sense I don't think 5 she -- she had any -- she, as far as I knew, knew 6 about the letter. If I would have spoke about the 7 letter she probably would have asked me to see it. 8 According to Ms. Sawaya's testimony SFUSD Q 9 Exhibit T-3 of page 7, she and you spoke with Ernie 10 Sanchez about the license challenge on March 2, 2001 which would have been her second day at work. Do you 11 12 recall having such a conversation? I recall being on the phone with Nicole at 13 Α 14 -- Nicole and I being from her office where she had a 15 speakerphone and being on the phone with the attorneys 16 virtually the first day or second day she was -- she 17 was on the job. So that would -- that would be 18 correct. 19 I've also seen a memo -- an e-mail I got from Ernie something to the effect of -- I think it's 20 dated March 2nd -- something like nice talking with you 21 22 and Nicole yesterday.

1	Q Well if such an e-mail exists I haven't
2	found it yet, but that's neither here nor there. Now
3	in terms of the conversation that you and Ms. Sawaya
4	had with Mr. Sanchez on the 2 nd of March, do you recall
5	any of the particulars of that conversation?
6	A As I recall Ernie was explaining what he -
7	- what he wanted. That what the FCC, according to him
8	what the FCC wanted was to know that the public
9	that he would be able to respond to the FCC that the
10	public file was now was now up to he could say
11	to them the FCC that the public file is complete
12	and up to date. That's the part I remember because
13	that's the part I was certainly involved with at that
14	time.
15	Q Now, according to Ms. Sawaya's testimony
16	she and you spoke with Mr. Sanchez on the 2 ^{nd.} I've
17	looked at Mr. Sanchez's bills, which is EB Exhibit 35
18	and I don't see any reference to March 2 nd . I was
19	wondering if you knew how Ms. Sawaya was able to
20	pinpoint March 2 as a date that you and she had called
21	Mr. Sanchez and talked about the FCC's letter?
22	A I'm not sure how what how she

1	referenced made that reference exactly. Although
2	like I say, I think I like I say I think I saw an
3	e-mail from Ernie saying nice to speak with you
4	know thank you Ernie, nice talking with you you and
5	Nicole yesterday.
6	Q We'll get
7	A That maybe helped us you know pinpoint the
8	day.
9	Q Right, about a month later but we'll get
10	to it. It's about a month later we'll get to it.
11	A Okay.
12	Q I was just wondering if you had a note
13	somewhere; there was a diary, a calendar, something
14	that you saw that that could help pinpoint that how
15	it was that March 2, 2001 was the date of this first
16	conversation apparently between Nicole, yourself and
17	Mr. Sanchez about the FCC's letter.
18	A I don't have any I didn't keep any kind
19	of diary or calendar about that.
20	Q Now according to Ms. Sawaya's testimony,
21	SFUSD Exhibit T-3, page 6, she convened her first
22	staff meeting on March 2, 2001, the same day that this

1	terephone carr took prace.
2	Can you tell us how it was that the staff
3	meeting came about? Was this something that she sent
4	out an e-mail to everybody or she just called people
5	up? How did she convene this staff meeting?
6	A Let's see can I in her answer here
7	it's on page 6. Just to see where it's at I want
8	to read it for a moment.
9	Q It's lines 13 and 14 is where you'll
10	find the statement in her testimony.
11	A Thank you.
12	MR. DUNCAN: What page is that again?
13	MR. SHOOK: SFUSD Exhibit T-3, page 6,
14	lines 13 and 14.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: You just have a question
16	about that one sentence?
17	MR. SHOOK: No I really didn't have a
18	question about the sentence. I had asked a different
19	question but I'll probably have to ask it again.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay and the question was
21	how did again, how did she
22	BY MR. SHOOK:

	d now are notice of the meet now was
2	notice of the meeting given?
3	A I'm not sure how we put the how she put
4	the word out about it.
5	Q I mean she didn't stand in front of her
6	office and scream staff meeting did she?
7	A No no she didn't do that. I'm pretty
8	sure she didn't do that. She may have given the
9	staff is so small she might have told each person
10	individually who was going to be on the premises on
11	Friday.
12	Q Did she notify all employees about the
13	meeting?
14	A I don't recall that she notified all the
15	employees or just the ones who were assigned to work
16	on that day.
17	Q Did you attend the meeting?
18	A I believe I attended that meeting, yes.
19	Q Do you have any recollection as to how
20	many people were in attendance?
21	A No it was in our conference room so I
22	don't remember exactly how many.
,	T .

1	Q How many people would your conference room
2	comfortably handle?
3	A Currently we got a table in there that
4	would table conference type table that has eight
5	chairs around it, might be able to fit in a couple of
6	more.
7	Q All right so if we were going to have the
8	entire paid staff, there probably wouldn't have been
9	room for everybody?
10	A If the entire paid staff again this is
11	the number of people who might have been working at
12	the station on at that particular time. A lot of
13	people who work at the radio station aren't
14	necessarily there on Friday.
15	They may be assigned they may be
16	working, you know on Saturdays or Sundays and some
17	people don't work on Fridays or on Friday during
18	daytime hours. So the entire staff, I'm sure, wasn't
19	there just because not everybody's available on
20	Friday. So the exact number approximately wouldn't
21	have been the entire staff.

According to Ms. Sawaya's calendar, which

22

1	is SFUSD Exhibit 16 and in particular, page 2 one
2	could interpret what she wrote there that the meeting
3	was scheduled to go from 2:00 until 3:30 in the
4	afternoon. Do you have any recollections as to how
5	long the meeting went?
6	MR. DUNCAN: What's the date? I'm sorry -
7	-
8	MR. SHOOK: March 2.
9	MR. DUNCAN: We're having trouble
10	THE WITNESS: At this time I don't recall
11	the exact time of the meeting the length of it.
12	MR. SHOOK: Was it a a long meeting, a
13	short meeting? What kind of meeting we talking about?
14	BY MR. SHOOK:
15	A I think it was the length was
16	uneventful in the sense of that I don't think it went
17	on and on and on being really long and I don't think
18	it was just a very, very short meeting of five
19	minutes.
20	So, an hour would have been would
21	probably have been sounds a bit I would say an
22	hour meeting would probably be about right as far as

	a normal rengen of nowever our meetings tended to be.
2	Q Well, now over the course of her tenure as
3	General Manager, how often would Ms. Sawaya have staff
4	meetings?
5	A We have meetings currently among the
6	what we consider the Administrative Staff I know and
7	they go on maybe once every couple of weeks. The
8	Administrative Staff consists currently of about four
9	people only who attend that meeting.
10	I know there's some Production meetings
11	that take that take place about once a week and
12	they last about an hour.
13	Q This particular staff meeting, though, was
14	it limited to Administrative Personnel?
15	A No, it wouldn't have been limited to
16	Administrative Personnel.
17	Q Wouldn't wouldn't have this at least
18	been part been Ms. Sawaya's opportunity to
19	introduce herself to everybody?
20	A That's what I suspect that the main
21	purpose of having the meeting right on March 2 nd was,
22	yes.

1	Q Was the fact of the license challenge
2	noted at the meeting?
3	A I can't recall if that was brought up in
4	the staff meeting.
5	Q Was the Commission's February 2001 letter
6	noted at the meeting?
7	A I don't recall if that was brought up at
8	the meeting.
9	Q Was Jason Lopez at the March 2, 2001 staff
LO	meeting?
L1	A I don't recall if he was there.
L2	Q Do you recall there being an occasion when
L3	you were in the presence of both Ms. Sawaya and Mr.
L4	Lopez?
L5	A Do you mean being present you mean in
L6	a in a meeting with the two of them? Or that
L7	what you mean by
18	Q A group meeting, just the two of them
L9	standing around and you happen to be there. The two
20	of them having a chance to interact with each other
21	and you're there, whether it's in a group setting or
22	not.

1	A I do recall them being being in the
2	presence of both of them at the same time.
3	Q Do you have any recollection as to
4	approximately when that occurred?
5	A What I recall was early on when she came
6	to the station and I don't recall the exact date. We
7	had a little I want to say off off at a
8	restaurant nearby we had a little I would say a
9	party an introduction party at which staff and
LO	volunteers were some staff and some volunteers were
L1	invited and I believe Jason was there that night.
12	Nicole was there since it was a Welcome Nicole party -
L3	- Welcome Nicole Sawaya to KALW event.
14	Q In terms of this event, do you have any
L5	memory as to how long it went on?
16	A Again, it was like a it was like a
L7	little cocktail reception type party so maybe it went
18	on for an hour and a half or two hours something.
19	Q That wasn't the that didn't occur on
20	Friday, March 2 nd did it?
21	A No, that wouldn't have been the Friday
22	afternoon, no.
]	

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	Q So this occurred at some later point in
2	time this get together party?
3	A I would say it within the first few
4	weeks we were there when it occurred.
5	Q Now was this is this kind of a party an
6	unusual event?
7	A I would say historically at KALW we don't
8	tend to have a lot of social functions at the for
9	the staff.
LO	Q So this was a relatively unusual event?
L1	A I would say that this was a relatively
12	unusual event. I could say we could we could count
L3	I would say in the course of a year you'd have
L4	you could count on one hand all the kind of social
L5	event functions that we'd have for KALW.
L6	Q Now was everybody supposed to pay for
L7	themselves in terms of what they drank?
L8	A The answer I believe is yes on that. We -
L9	- everybody paid for their own drinks.
20	Q Okay, the station didn't spring for the
21	party?
22	A No, the station didn't spring for this

1	party.
2	Q In this context this is where Ms. Sawaya
3	and Mr. Lopez had an opportunity to at least look at
4	each other?
5	A Yes, they did.
6	Q Did you notice whether any words were
7	exchanged?
8	A I don't recall the what specifically
9	was said between them in this on this occasion.
10	Q Well that really wasn't my question.
11	A Okay.
12	Q My question was did you notice whether any
13	words were exchanged between them?
14	A Yes, I believe words were they they
15	spoke to each other.
16	Q Was it a happy conversation?
17	A I don't remember what the I did I
18	don't recall if it was a happy conversation or not.
19	Again, it was a social occasion it rather
20	so I don't know that that was the context of the
21	evening rather than a business meeting of some kind.
22	So that might have had something to do with the the

1	words being spoken too exchanged.
2	Q Now I'd like you to refer to EB Exhibit
3	16.
4	A Which one is I'm sorry which one is
5	16?
6	MR. DUNCAN: I think I've finally lost
7	track. Where were where were the Nicole notes
8	from?
9	MR. SHOOK: SFUSD Exhibit 16. You're talk
LO	- you're talking about the calendar right?
.1	MR. DUNCAN: Yes and that's what you're
L2	directing his attention to?
L3	MR. SHOOK: No, I'm directing his
L4	attention to EB Exhibit 16.
L5	BY MR. SHOOK:
L6	Q Have you seen EB 16 before today?
L7	A I don't recall seeing this before today,
L8	no.
L9	Q Were you aware that a request had been
20	made to delay the response time to the FCC letter?
21	A I was aware of that, yes and I don't
22	recall if it was either from the attorneys themselves

1	or from Nicole Sawaya.
2	Q So in March of 2001 did you direct Mr.
3	Sanchez to ask for more time to prepare responses?
4	A No, I did not direct him to do that, have
5	no recollection of ever making that request of him.
6	Q If you didn't do you know who did?
7	A I have no recollection of anyone making
8	that request of him.
9	Q Did you authorize Mr. Sanchez to ask for
10	more time to prepare responses?
11	A I never recall asking authorizing him.
12	To make that
13	Q Do you know who did?
14	A I don't know of anyone who made that
15	request of him authorized him.
16	Q He just didn't do this on his own did he?
17	A I really don't know if he did it on his
18	own or not.
19	Q Well let's see, the last time, you know I
20	gave this matter some thought it seemed to me that the
21	client usually tells the lawyer what, you know he
22	wants to have done or not done.